

# The Improving Undergraduate STEM Education: Pathways Into Geoscience (IUSE: GEOPATHS) Working Group Results

## EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES FOR . . .

### Increasing the number and diversity of students entering Geosciences

- Summer Math/STEM programs on campus the summer before Freshman year with possible college credit attached
- Professional Development for K-12 educators in Earth Science and curriculum development to increase GEO opportunities in high school
- Recruitment strategies which appeal to family, acknowledge financial obligations, include personal invitations
- Strong transfer options between 2 and 4 year institutions

### Retaining undergraduates in geosciences

- Development of systems to collect data and track retention
- Professional development for faculty focused on resilience, hardiness, failure, adversity
- Individual student attention, mentoring, advising
- Bridge Programs in place to assist transfer from 2-year to 4-year institutions
- Flexibility with course scheduling and field experiences for non-traditional students; early Math support for all students.
- Student community/culture building: informal recurring gatherings, student cohorts, "lunch and learn," geology clubs

### Preparing undergraduates for the geoscience workforce

- Invite a diverse group of guest speakers from industry including alums from underrepresented groups and professional organizations.
- Include employer expectations (specific to region) in coursework and curriculum.
- Prepare students for licensure early on and provide opportunities for professional credentials through start courses
- Offer service learning opportunities off campus to connect students with community issues and needs.
- Connect students with local professional organizations.
- Offer GIS courses, provide projects requiring students to learn technical skills in addition to research skills.

### Increasing the number and diversity of non-science undergraduate majors into GEO post-baccalaureate degrees

- Offer multi-disciplinary research opportunities, recruiting outside of GEO
- Offer geoscience courses as electives in other majors and recruit from those departments to take courses
- Program flexibility to offer undergraduate-level GEO to GEO grad students who don't have a geosciences background.
- Market in departments interdisciplinary in nature; use peer recruiting
- Field Trips, Service learning courses (i.e., STEAMseas)
- Removal of prerequisite barriers
- Diversify faculty in terms of discipline
- Offer transdisciplinary courses that count for other majors.

# The Improving Undergraduate STEM Education: Pathways Into Geoscience (IUSE: GEOPATHS)

## Working Group Results

<u>PARTICIPANT CHALLENGE EXAMPLES</u>	<u>ADDRESSING PARTICIPANT CHALLENGES</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Timeline: Students decide "last minute" to attend community college, so high school recruitment doesn't necessarily yield benefits.</li> <li>• Research commitments and prioritization, dropouts/ghosting</li> <li>• Fear of travel far away or home obligations interfere with residential programs</li> <li>• Time commitment and corresponding loss of income</li> <li>• Not knowing the spectrum of careers in geoscience hampers recruitment and may impact career tracks.</li> <li>• Field geology is not a sufficient incentive and may limit students' experience of what geoscience encompasses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Community-building prior to residential programs</li> <li>• Include families in decisions; meet with parents and families to hear concerns</li> <li>• Ensure programs include activities that reflect a range of tasks that geoscientists may perform (field, lab, programming, administration, technical, science planning)</li> <li>• Invite professionals to talk about experiences through geology clubs, faculty informal gatherings, career center opportunities</li> <li>• Offer time management workshops: prioritization and value of extracurricular activities</li> <li>• Use social media to advertise for recruitment</li> <li>• Allow flexibility in budget that may be used for child care; provide stipends</li> </ul>
<u>EVALUATION/ASSESSMENT CHALLENGES</u>	<u>ADDRESSING EVALUATION/ASSESSMENT CHALLENGES</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Institutional Review Board (IRB) can be challenging in terms of state laws or potentially another partner does not have an IRB (independent consultants)</li> <li>• Some 2 Year colleges have trouble finding evaluators in grant offices with minimal knowledge of NSF</li> <li>• Selection bias (who decides to participate in research components of study)</li> <li>• How to administer surveys: different people may not necessarily be experienced with data collection.</li> <li>• How to define and measure impact</li> <li>• Data management</li> <li>• Some evaluators lack understanding of geoscience/GEOPATHS goals</li> <li>• Survey fatigue of participants</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include access to procedures for IRB approval for grantees or partners that are not colleges (thus may not have IRBs)</li> <li>• Provide incentives for participation in the survey, free admission to a museum, gift certificate to campus book store, etc.</li> <li>• Build in basic half day workshop to develop internal data collection team either onsite or online.</li> <li>• Invite evaluators for 1 day field trips for projects and pay for their travel, approach GEO community for recommendations, respect evaluators expertise, know they can learn the goals, &amp; design applicable level</li> <li>• Ask NAGT or GSA, etc. to hold workshops on IRBs, reach out to IRB folks in advance of submission/establish relationships, learn about procedures, get required training</li> </ul>
<u>INSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES</u>	<u>ADDRESSING INSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-R1 institutions do not have grants offices to support pre/post award and during-project implementation</li> <li>• Need for release time and other incentives for outreach limit the number of faculty participants.</li> <li>• Institutional rules for transfer of student financial aid.</li> <li>• Different models within a single project (different partners may pay participants differently within a project)</li> <li>• Lack of infrastructure to complete all necessary paperwork</li> <li>• State legislature requirements, cultural fear of "messaging up", lack of trust in faculty who know the requirements</li> <li>• Leaders disconnected, particularly at community colleges</li> <li>• Upper level administrative support</li> <li>• Lack of experience by 2 year colleges in grant submission</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appeal to the institution's strategic plan to obtain steady funding to provide administrative support for grants</li> <li>• Implement workload credits for student oriented programs @ system level (U.T.)</li> <li>• Get administrators involved in projects, e.g. by inviting them to symposiums</li> <li>• Involve admin/HR/business partners in proposal process early</li> <li>• Project faculty ask questions early about policies and procedures they deal with and why; build 2-way dialogue and collaboration before new questions arise</li> <li>• For institutional memory: document more than you think you should and share that documentation and the responsibility for it</li> <li>• Establish staff/personnel continuity plan with an institution for duration of grant (i.e. commitment to designate a new liaison if someone leaves)</li> <li>• Develop relationships with admin staff at partner institutions</li> </ul>
<u>LOGISTICAL CHALLENGES</u>	<u>ADDRESSING LOGISTICAL CHALLENGES</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Learning how to write a successful grant, navigate Fastlane, etc.</li> <li>• Travel, access to facilities, field trips, paperwork, scheduling, getting groups to field sites, organizing between campuses.</li> <li>• Communication with partners, students, families, schools (for bridging programs), etc.</li> <li>• Scheduling classes and activities with enough lead time</li> <li>• Administrative constraints/ barriers to getting new curriculum approved in a timely fashion for the grant</li> <li>• Differences in professional cultures/expectations w/external participants such as industry even 2y v 4y</li> <li>• Distributing financial incentives to students (e.g. internal v. external participants)</li> <li>• Accommodating individual special needs/circumstances so they can participate (e.g. rooming, service animal)</li> <li>• Criminal background checks for student employees</li> <li>• Getting bids for project evaluators – state laws required bids over a certain amount</li> <li>• Faculty who don't know all of the procedures/policies navigating program development</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communicating required more face to face, personal, social media, and less reliance on email</li> <li>• Identify clean lines of responsibility for team members</li> <li>• Include a coordinator/event planner in budget, more money in award</li> <li>• PI team researches practical aspects of job titles/classifications (so a person can be hired and at decent pay) i.e. engage HR in grant development and budget</li> <li>• Faculty – orientation workshops, prior and during projects, cultural sensitivity training</li> <li>• Start early. Regular check ins of individual responsibility (are people getting paid or applications going out)</li> <li>• Flexible meeting times that vary frequency/length with amount of activities/responsibilities (balance including ppl w/not wasting time)</li> <li>• Buyout admin if not part of the IDC-ensure sufficient admin support for grant</li> <li>• Last minute changes can be a teachable moment: explain to students that such things happen and that scientist often adapt and change plans (logistics not research)</li> </ul>
<u>PARTNER CHALLENGES</u>	<u>ADDRESSING PARTNER CHALLENGES</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Industry consultant partners' OSHA requirements creating an obstacle in getting students onto job field sites.</li> <li>• Finding mutually beneficial curricular pathways for 2 and 4 year college partners</li> <li>• Industry sponsors withdraw or diminish support as their goals change</li> <li>• Maintaining consistent student experiences with different collaborating partners</li> <li>• Potential for 4 year institutions taking advantage of 2 year colleges in the search for funding and diverse students.</li> <li>• Balancing mentoring 2 year partners while meeting goals.</li> <li>• 2 year college, high school teacher/administrator comprehension of what to do in terms of grant budgets, expectations, and purchasing.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request funding to cover cost for student certification/training (OSHA) to access work sites.</li> <li>• Establish and follow up regularly in communicating with partners during grant preparation and after awarding of grant.</li> <li>• Anticipate issues and do not assume partners know what to do; create a liaison with each institution.</li> <li>• Include partners in planning at every stage.</li> <li>• Respect Partner's culture, respect boundaries, exercise gratitude and positivity</li> <li>• Develop rubric with partners that describes expectation for student work/research experience and mentoring.</li> <li>• Use collaborative proposals rather than sub-contracts to prevent one institution from taking advantage of another</li> <li>• Invite current and potential partners to the end-of-project symposium</li> </ul>