

	<b>Solution for Graph A</b>	<b>Critiques</b>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Spread = 66, Center = 36</li> <li>• There are no people between and including 6 and 28 (school age/college).</li> <li>• Lots of infants and toddlers with parents.</li> <li>• Increase of people in 30's and 60's.</li> <li>• Possible parents and grandparents.</li> <li>• Shape has clusters.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multiple peaks (bimodal)</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The only thing we could think that the older aged people were not just parents or grandparents but people that were off work or not there yet, or retirees.</li> </ul>
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mall walkers were the 60+ age range.</li> <li>• Data left skewed (with 3 peaks).</li> <li>• Most adults were in their 30's+ age range.</li> <li>• Not many teens due to school (Tuesday morning).</li> <li>• Most babies were with their parents.</li> <li>• The spread was 66, with 55 people, center at 36.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Most people between the ages of 40-50 go back to work, while people in their 30's stay home with the kids.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Excellent. Perhaps people in 40's and 50's could be mall walkers too.</li> </ul>
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stem plot illustrates ages of mall patrons</li> <li>• Young children under 10 are with parent at mall</li> <li>• Children between 10-30 are at school</li> <li>• Adults with children are at mall</li> <li>• Adults with school children go to work</li> <li>• Adults retired from work go to mall</li> <li>• Forms a symmetrical stem plot</li> <li>• Alternating Intervals according to generation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10-28 at school</li> <li>• 29 &amp; 30 year olds at mall</li> <li>• A lot of adults at the mall</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bimodal (not symmetrical)</li> <li>• Children 6-18 at school</li> <li>• 18-30 college/work</li> </ul>

	<b>Solution for Graph B</b>	<b>Critiques</b>
<b>1</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The graph is right skewed because no client is going to use less than 0 electricity.</li> <li>• They will not use more than 14,000</li> <li>• The clients on the left side of the graph are household users because they have less consumption.</li> <li>• The right side would be corporations because they have more consumption.</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other than right skewed, it was a general observation (good) but no spread, info, etc. But ok use of home vs. corp.</li> </ul> <hr/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add spread, center, and sample size. It is not necessarily true that they can't use more than 14,000.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Right skewed</li> <li>• Most clients are most likely households, small 9-5 businesses (&lt;6000 kW)</li> <li>• The higher values (6000 – 8000 kW) are most likely high consuming large households, hotels, universities, factories, 24 hour businesses (Walmart) (&gt; 8,000 kW)</li> </ul>
<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Graph is right skewed</li> <li>• Less consumption of energy because there are a greater number of smaller houses than larger ones that consume more energy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Didn't mention how you can't consume &gt;0 amount of energy.</li> <li>• Didn't mention the majority of houses used 2000-4000 (energy)</li> <li>• Good job.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Base on income not size of house</li> <li>• Small houses can consume as much as larger homes</li> </ul>

	<b>Solution for Graph C</b>	<b>Critiques</b>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Both histograms are symmetric</li> <li>• The Graphs are very similar except for the fact that the NBA histogram is shifted about 10 inches to the right</li> <li>• Obviously, NBA players tend to be taller than statistics students.</li> <li>• Center: about 70, Spread: 60-80 for students</li> <li>• Center: about 80, Spread: 65-95 for NBA</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This is what we thought as well.</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We fell NBA is slightly left tailed</li> <li>• Spread should be number calculated value</li> <li>• No mention of outlier in NBA graph.</li> </ul>
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Most NBA players are between 75-85 inches tall</li> <li>• Most male students (statistics) are between 67-75 inches tall</li> <li>• More NBA players were surveyed then statistic students</li> <li>• No one in NBA are between 67-69 inches tall</li> <li>• NA players are much taller than statistic students</li> <li>• Symmetric both</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Didn't mention outlier on NBA player graph</li> <li>• Good job</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NBA left skewed slightly due to outliers</li> </ul>
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Male students: normal because there are a few real shorties and a few real tall people.</li> <li>• Rest are clustered in the middle</li> <li>• NBA: Average height is pretty tall so the few short people will make it skewed to the left</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No details about graphs</li> <li>• No spread, center</li> <li>• Nice explanation</li> </ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Male students is symmetric with a median of 7-7.25</li> <li>• NBA is fine*</li> </ul>

