

Rubric for Concept Map

	Exemplary 4	Exceeds standard 3	Meets standard 2	Below standard 1	Score
Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Well organized Logical format Map is "treelike" and not stringy Follows standard map conventions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thoughtfully organized Easy to follow most of the time Follows the standard map conventions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Somewhat organized Somewhat incoherent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Choppy and confusing 	
Geologic nature of the resource					
Content	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All of the main concepts from the module are covered 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Almost all of the main concepts from the module are included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The majority (>50%) of concepts from the module are included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many of the main concepts from the module are missing 	
Connections	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers the key questions asked in the instructions Uses appropriate terminology (terms used in class) All nodes (concepts) are accurately connected Links are precisely labeled Linking words demonstrate conceptual understanding No misconceptions/errors evident 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers most of the key questions asked in the instructions Uses appropriate terminology (terms used in class) All nodes (concepts) are accurately connected Connections are clear and logical. They connect concepts to promote clarity and convey meaning. Linking words are easy to follow but at times ideas unclear or connections incorrectly labeled May contain some small errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers some of the key questions asked Most words are accurately connected Connections are somewhat clear and convey some meaning Makes some incorrect connections Some links are not labeled May contain errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers some of the key questions asked Only some concepts are accurately connected Labels aren't clear, they convey little meaning and do not promote clarity Many links are not labeled May contain many errors, and/or concepts that don't belong 	
Factors and people who determine resource demand					
Content	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All of the main concepts from the module are covered 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Almost all of the main concepts from the module are included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The majority (>50%) of concepts from the module are included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many of the main concepts from the module are missing 	
Content and connections	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers the key questions asked in the instructions Uses appropriate terminology (terms used in class) All nodes (concepts) are accurately connected Links are precisely labeled Linking words demonstrate conceptual understanding No misconceptions/errors evident 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers most of the key questions asked in the instructions Uses appropriate terminology (terms used in class) All nodes (concepts) are accurately connected Connections are clear and logical. They connect concepts to promote clarity and convey meaning. Linking words are easy to follow but at times ideas unclear or connections incorrectly labeled May contain some small errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers some of the key questions asked Most words are accurately connected Connections are somewhat clear and convey some meaning Makes some incorrect connections Some links are not labeled May contain errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers some of the key questions asked Only some concepts are accurately connected Labels aren't clear, they convey little meaning and do not promote clarity Many links are not labeled May contain many errors, and/or concepts that don't belong 	

Continued on the next page

	Exemplary 4	Exceeds standard 3	Meets standard 2	Below standard 1	Score
Resource mining and processing					
Content	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All of the main concepts from the module are covered 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Almost all of the main concepts from the module are included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The majority (>50%) of concepts from the module are included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many of the main concepts from the module are missing 	
Connections	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers the key questions asked in the instructions Uses appropriate terminology (terms used in class) All nodes (concepts) are accurately connected Links are precisely labeled Linking words demonstrate conceptual understanding No misconceptions/errors evident 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers most of the key questions asked in the instructions Uses appropriate terminology (terms used in class) All nodes (concepts) are accurately connected Connections are clear and logical. They connect concepts to promote clarity and convey meaning. Linking words are easy to follow but at times ideas unclear or connections incorrectly labeled May contain some small errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers some of the key questions asked Most words are accurately connected Connections are somewhat clear and convey some meaning Makes some incorrect connections Some links are not labeled May contain errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The map answers some of the key questions asked Only some concepts are accurately connected Labels aren't clear, they convey little meaning and do not promote clarity Many links are not labeled May contain many errors, and/or concepts that don't belong 	
Includes references (1)					
Legible with (mostly) correct spelling (1)					
Total (out of 30)					