



Scoring Rubric for Unit 6 Summative Assessment Essay

Dimension	Sophisticated	Competent	Needs Work
Opinion of support or opposition of proposal	<p>Student's opinion of support or opposition of proposal is clearly stated. Opinion is supported by several independent and factually correct lines of evidence. The proposal in question is clearly articulated and thoroughly explained.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2 pts</p>	<p>Student's opinion of support or opposition is fairly clear, and/or the stated opinion is supported by one or two lines of factually correct lines of evidence, or lines of evidence provided are factually incorrect. The proposal is somewhat clearly and explained, and could benefit from more detail.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 pt</p>	<p>Opinion of support or opposition is not evident, there are no supporting lines of evidence, and/or all evidence is factually incorrect. Proposal is incorrectly or insufficiently explained.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0 pts</p>
Reference to societal impacts from pursuing proposal	<p>Clearly identifies two or more impacts to society for pursuing this proposal. Impacts are each factually and logically correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2 pts</p>	<p>Identifies only one impact to society for pursuing proposal. If multiple impacts are listed, not all may be factually or logically correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 pts</p>	<p>No evident impacts to society for pursuing this proposal, and/or listed impacts are not factually or logically correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0 pts</p>
Reference to economic impacts from pursuing proposal	<p>Clearly identifies two or more economic impacts from pursuing this proposal. Impacts are each factually and logically correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2 pts</p>	<p>Identifies only one economic impact from pursuing proposal. If multiple impacts are listed, not all may be factually or logically correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 pt</p>	<p>No evident economic impacts to society for pursuing this proposal, and/or listed impacts are not factually or logically correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0 pts</p>
Identifies an exchange between carbon reservoirs reflected within proposal	<p>Clearly and accurately identifies at least two carbon reservoirs affected by this proposal and at least one flux.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2 pts</p>	<p>Identifies one or more affected carbon reservoirs, but may not be clearly stated or accurate. Identified flux may be incorrect.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 pt</p>	<p>Does not identify any affected carbon reservoirs, or identified reservoirs are incorrect. No flux is identified.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0 pts</p>
Articulates a benefit and a drawback from pursuing proposal	<p>Clearly identifies one or more accurate advantage and one or more accurate disadvantage associated with proposal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2 pts</p>	<p>Identified advantage and/or disadvantage associated with proposal is factually incorrect.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 pt</p>	<p>No advantage or disadvantage of pursuing proposal is stated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0 pts</p>

Total points possible: **10 pts**