# **Rubric for the Geog 181 Annotated Bibliography Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Task Description: Read 8 or more pieces of primary literature related to your team’s research topic and construct an annotated bibliography. An annotated bibliography includes a citation and a brief review for each reading.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Excellent Work (A)** | **Good Work (B)** | **Adequate Work (C)** | **Grade** |
| **Content (75%)** | □ The full citation for each reading is provided.□ Each entry has an excellent summary of the reading, including its purpose, main methods and main results or conclusions.□ Each entry includes an insightful evaluation of the reading with respect to content and/or communication.□ Eight or more primary readings are included. | □ Parts of the citation are missing and/or there are some content errors.□ Summaries are missing important information, such as the main focus of the reading, methods, or the results/conclusions.□ Evaluations of the readings are too general, too brief, or lack insight (critical thought).□ -5% penalty for each missing reading if less than 8.  | □ Some citations are missing or there are substantial errors in citation content.□ There is very little description of the readings.□ There is very little evaluation of the readings.□ -5% penalty for each missing reading if less than 8. |  |
| **Communication****(10%)** | □ Writing style is clear and concise.□ Annotated bibliography has no (or very few) grammar, spelling and punctuation errors. | □ Some parts of the description are not clear, or they contain too much or too little detail.□ Errors in grammar, spelling or punctuation are distracting, but they do not interfere with meaning. | □ Descriptions are hard to understand because of frequent problems with clarity and detail.□ Many errors in grammar, spelling or punctuation make understanding difficult. |  |
| **Professionalism****(15%)** | □ Chicago Style is used correctly for citations.□ Entries are in alphabetical order by author last name.□ 3 readings were completed by Lab 7. | □ There are some mistakes in using Chicago Style.□ A few entries are out of order.□ 2 readings were completed by Lab 7. | □ Style for citations bears little resemblance to Chicago Style.□ Entries are not in alphabetical order by author last name.□ 1 reading was completed by Lab 7. |  |