Department of Geosciences Dr. K.S. McNeal Biogeochemical Cycles and Processes Spring 2009

HW#2 – Wino Column Report Rubric

| SCORE | Rubric Category | Expectations |
|--------|---------------------|---|
| (0-20) | | |
| | Biogeochemical | Does the student describe the Winogradsky column? Did the student apply the results of their Winogradsky column to biogeochemical processes? Did the student show specific chemical |
| | Processes | equations? Does the student have an accurate and complete understanding of the system and of |
| | | related biogeochemical principles? Are there misconceptions present about the system and |
| | | biogeochemical principles? Are proper terms used? Does the student consider multiple potential |
| | | reactions and microbial growth responses to manipulated conditions? Does the student attempt to |
| | | describe what type of conditions or microbial communities may be present in their columns? Are |
| | | these speculations reasonable and is an explanation provided as to why they cam to this |
| | | conclusion? Does the student reference measurement techniques that would need to be employed |
| | | to verify these speculations? |
| | Biogeochemical and | Does the student describe the usefulness/limitations of laboratory experiments (and the employed |
| | Microbial Research | qualitative study) and discuss possible direct measurement and characterization methods that could |
| | Methods | have been utilized had resources and time not been limited? Does the student show an |
| | G | understanding of how these measurements may have been useful had they been employed? |
| | Scientific Inquiry | Did the student state a hypothesis? Did the student include details of the experimental design? |
| | Method | Does the student's experimental design appropriately address the hypothesis? Did the student |
| | | include results (e.g., actual photos) of the experiment? Does the student make reasonable interpretations of the qualitative data collected? Did the student include a description of the soils |
| | | used and describe where they were collected (e.g., a USDA soil map of the area)? Did the student |
| | | use scientific logic and reasoning to justify results? Did the student determine possibilities for |
| | | deviations from original expectations? |
| | | The student's product is easily understood and coherent. Includes appropriate components of a |
| | Communication of | scientific paper (i.e. background, research objectives /hypothesis, materials/methods, study area |
| | Findings | description, observations/results, conclusions/explanations, and references). Product shows |
| | 1 | creativity and organization. Grammar is appropriately used and sentences are complete. |
| | Inclusion of | Did the student make comparisons to controls, other groups' results, or previous studies? Did the |
| | References, | student include references to substantiate their claims? Does the student describe how the |
| | Comparison to other | modified system is different/similar to the natural Earth system? Does the student include what |
| | Research Results, | they might expect to observe in the natural system? |
| | and Application to | |
| | Natural Systems | |

TOTAL: (Out of 100)

Department of Geosciences Dr. K.S. McNeal Biogeochemical Cycles and Processes Spring 2009