

Analysis Paper Grading Rubric

	A	B	C	D	F
Answers to Questions	Answers are well supported with details from the article and supplemented by class material. All the answers are accurate and have detailed responses. <i>Student clearly demonstrates a strong working knowledge of the class material.</i>	Some details are missing, there could be more supplementing details, but overall, the questions are clearly and accurately answered. <i>Student demonstrates a good working knowledge of class material.</i>	Answers are missing or not answered clearly. Minimal class information is used or there is minimal referencing to the article. <i>Student demonstrates some working knowledge of class material.</i>	Answers are missing or not answered clearly. Minimal class information is used and there is minimal referencing to the article. <i>Student demonstrates a limited working knowledge of the class material.</i>	No answers are provided. <i>Student demonstrates no working knowledge of the class material.</i>
Summary for Articles	Summaries are clear and answer who, what, where, when questions. It also includes a “human element.” Summaries are written in the author’s own words	Summaries include who, what, where, when, but the “human element” is missing. Summaries are written in the author’s own words	Summaries are missing one of the basic questions (who, what, where, when). Summaries are written in the author’s own words	Summaries are missing multiple components from answering who, what, where, when. Summaries are not always used in the author’s own words.	No summary is provided or a verbatim of the text is provided (not in the author’s own words).
Organization/ Writing Style	Paper is well organized and easy to follow. There are no spelling, grammar, or punctuation mistakes (no grammatical errors).	Paper is well organized but there are a few grammatical errors.	Paper is not well organized or there are a <i>many</i> grammatical errors	Paper is not well organized and there are <i>many</i> grammatical errors.	Paper is so difficult to follow, I can’t understand what the analysis is about.
Article selected	Article selected has a lot of details and is appropriately dated.		Article is appropriate for topic, but weak on details or wrong dates.		Article is inappropriate for topic and has wrong dates.
Peer Review	Paper has been reviewed by a peer or by someone at the writing center and review form is submitted with assignment.	---	---	---	No review is provided.
Comparison of Earthquakes	Response clearly compares both earthquakes and follows the required criteria. Response is well thought out and justified by responses from the article and contains class material to supplement arguments.	Response clearly compares both earthquakes and follows the required criteria. There may be some missing justifications from the article or from class material.	Response compares both the earthquakes but misses some of the required criteria or there are minimal justifications.	Response compares both the earthquakes but misses some of the required criteria and there are minimal justifications.	No comparison is provided.